

October 29, 2010

Allen Norfleet
Federal Election Commission
999 E St., NW
Washington, DC 20463

Identification Number: C00448696

Reference: Amended July Monthly Report (6/1/10-6/30/10), received 8/20/10

Dear Mr. Norfleet:

This letter is in response to your request for additional information, dated September 24, 2010.

1. Your letter indicates that several of the employer and occupation entries have incomplete information. The Committee does follow the three-fold process of best efforts required to meet the Commission standards. Every solicitation includes a clear and conspicuous request for contributor information and informs the contributor of the requirements of federal law for the reporting of such information. Please note that the majority of the contributions are received via the internet and that the Committee's donor page will not allow a contributor to give without completing the employer and occupation information. The incomplete items that you list are exactly as the contributor entered them and, in most cases, the contributor has not provided additional information. If the information is not received, within 30 days of receipt, the contributor receives a request to provide this information. These requests are generally made by phone and email. This request restates the requirements. If the information is received by the Committee, it is updated and the affected report will be amended to reflect the new information.

2. Your letter indicates that notices of independent expenditures filed by 48 or 24 hour notices do not match the same independent expenditures disclosed on the above referenced report:

Diener Consultants:

- \$47500 was reported for Angle on 6/13 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/10, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/13/10.
- \$82250 was reported for Angle on 6/17 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/25, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/17/10.
- \$2000 was reported for Lee on 6/14 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/25, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/14/10.
- \$15500 was reported for Rubio on 6/13 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/10, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/13/10.

Townhall.com reported \$10,000 for Angle on 6/14 on a 48 hour notice. The above referenced report disclosed this expenditure on Schedule E with the date of payment on 6/17/10. This is the same expenditure.

Intermarkets:

- \$2000 was reported for Angle on 6/13 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/16, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/13/10.
- \$3750 was reported for Lee on 6/16 on a 48 hour notice. This same expenditure was disclosed on Schedule E of the above referenced report as being paid on 6/17, but the purpose indicated that the date of dissemination was 6/16/10.
